

ಶ್ರೀ ಶರಣಪ್ಪ.—ಇಂಟರ್ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಲಿಸ್ಟ್ ತಯಾರುಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿದು ಬಂದಮೇಲೆ ಆ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಭಾರತ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೂ ಕೂಡ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ನಾನು ಗೆಜೆಟೆಡ್ ಅಫೀಸರ್ಸ್‌ಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟದ್ದು ಇದನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಲ್ಲ. ಗೆಜೆಟೆಡ್ ಅಫೀಸರ್ಸ್‌ಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟದ್ದನ್ನು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದುವರೆಗೂ.

.....

ಶ್ರೀ ಶರಣಪ್ಪ.—ನಾನು ಗೆಜೆಟೆಡ್ ಅಫೀಸರ್ಸ್ ಲಿಸ್ಟ್ ತಯಾರಾಗಿದ್ದು ಎಂದು ಮೇಲೆ ಪ್ರಮೋಷನ್ ವಗೈರಿ ಕೂಡ ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿರಬಹುದೇ ಹೇಗೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಪ್ರಮೋಷನ್ ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಲೂ ಇಲ್ಲ.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—The purpose for which this Committee is constituted, is to examine inter-State seniority list pertaining to non-gazetted officers and to make recommendations to the Government. When the purpose is that, why did not the Committee meet even once and consider this matter?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—I have replied it in Kannada and now I will reply in English.

The Chairman of the Advisory Committee thought that it was not necessary to meet as there were no sufficient number of cases to be heard in appeal.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—The committee was constituted not to hear appeals. It was constituted to examine the inter-State seniority list and to make recommendations. May I know whether an inter-state seniority list in respect of non-gazetted officers has been prepared?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Hon'ble Member is mixing up the question. This committee is an advisory appellate body. When the Government prepares a list and publishes in the Gazette, the Government servants who are affected are to give their objections. When these objections are received by the Government, the entire papers are to be sent, provided they make an appeal, to the advisory committee.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ವಿಷಯಗಳಿಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದು ದರಿಂದ ಕರೆಯಲಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಕೊಡಿಸಿದಿರಿ, ಹಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ವಿಷಯಗಳಿದ್ದುವು?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—He had received only three cases and he thought that a decision could be taken by circulating the papers. If there were more number of cases then he would have

thought of calling a meeting of the Committee.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—Who were the members of the Committee?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sri Appajappa, Member of the P.S.C., was the Chairman and there were 2 other members. After his death some time back, the present Chairman of the P.S.C has been made the Chairman of this Advisory Committee.

Sri S. RAJAGOPAL.—Because this Committee did not meet so far, do Government think of dissolving this Committee once for all?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Under the States Re-organisation Act it is absolutely necessary to have such a Committee. Even if the hon. member wishes to remove it and the Government approves it, we cannot remove this Committee.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—May I know the cases in which appeals have been preferred to the Committee have been examined by the Committee?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—In the meanwhile there was the High Court decision because some Government servant went to the High Court in a writ petition and so the question was stayed. Now the entire list will be ready by the end of August.

ಶ್ರೀ ಶರಣಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಸಮಿತಿ ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಮಾಡದೇ ಹೋದರೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಮುಂದೆ ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಇದೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಅಪೈರು ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಆಗುವವರೆಗೆ ನಿಲ್ಲುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ; ಇಂಟರಿಮ್ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಏನಾದರೂ ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಆ ರೀತಿ ನಾವು ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Disposal of Pension Cases.

*Q.—228. Sri C. M. REVANA SIDDIAH (Siruguppa)—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) what are the provisions that Government have made to dispose of the pension cases well in advance;

(b) are there any pending cases for more than two years;

(c) if so, what are the reasons and what action they propose to hasten the disposal of such cases?

A.—Sri B. D. JATTI (Minister for Finance).—

(a) A Special Section has been created in the Finance Department with an Officer of the Accountant General's Office, on deputation, to take all necessary action to expedite the finalisation of the pension cases. A monthly return has been prescribed to be submitted by the Heads of Departments and through this return the processing and disposal of each case at the several stages will be watched by the Special Officer and suitable advice to overcome the difficulties, if any, felt by the departmental officers will be given. He will establish a liaison between the departmental officers and the Accountant General personally as well as through correspondence to ensure quick disposal at both the ends. Necessary circular instructions have been issued to all the departmental officers in the matter. Besides, the rules have been further simplified recently and it has also been permitted to dispense with the production of Guardianship Certificates granted by Courts of law and to obtain instead indemnity bonds with suitable sureties.

(b) At present (as on 30th April 1962) there are 112 cases which are more than 2 years old.

(c) The more important reasons for their pendency are :

(1) Loss of Service Books or their imperfect maintenance.

(2) Want of confirmation in any post in the entire service or confirmation being not in order,

(3) Irregular retention in service after the date of medical certificate or the date of superannuation without obtaining competent sanction therefor.

(4) The whole or a major portion of the service being non-pensionable or not verifiable.

(5) Interruptions in service, irregular grant of long leave or retention of lien not being shown.

(6) Particulars of pay drawn during the terminal period being not verifiable or irregular fixation of pay.

(7) Departmental enquiry for losses not being completed or there being heavy recovery to be effected.

(8) Essential records to accompany the pension cases like death certificates, Guardianship Certificates, option to pension rules and certain other essential certificates not being furnished or not being in order, etc.

Action has been taken in all these cases as indicated against (a) above.

Sri C. M. REVANA SIDDIAH.—When was the special staff appointed?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Three months ago.

Sri C. M. REVANA SIDDIAH.—How many cases has it finalised after its appointment?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—All the cases which were pending for five years and more have been finally disposed of and all the cases which were pending before Government for over three years have been disposed of. Only five cases are pending.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Was this staff meant for disposing of cases of non-gazetted officers or gazetted officers?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—For purposes of pension we do not make a distinction between gazetted and non-gazetted officers.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Has it not come to the notice of Government that in my taluka there are eight teachers and three tree-markers who have been agitating for their pensions for the last four years?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—I do not know their agitation. If they had represented to Government their cases would have been dealt with early.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Have the Government taken necessary steps for quick disposal of pension papers because their cases are pending before the D. P. I. for the last four years?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Necessary instructions have been issued to the officers to dispose of pension-papers early.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—Who is to maintain the records?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The Heads of Departments with the assistance of the officer in charge of preparing pension papers.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಸೂರ್ಯನಾರಾಯಣರಾವ್.—ಇವು pending ಆಗಿರುವುದು imperfect maintenance of records ನಿಂದ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ, ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಯಾರು ಕಾರಣ ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Heads of Departments with the assistance of officers who are in charge of preparing pension papers.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಸೂರ್ಯನಾರಾಯಣರಾವ್.— ಅವರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ತಪ್ಪಿಗಾಗಿ ಈ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ನೌಕರರು ಕಷ್ಟವನ್ನು ಭವಿಷ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಈ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ನೌಕರರು ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡದೇ ಹೋದರೆ ಅವರ ತಪ್ಪು ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಸೂರ್ಯನಾರಾಯಣರಾವ್.— Loss of service registers ಗೆ ಯಾರು ಕಾರಣ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Such cases are very few, but the reasons given are many.

Persons in receipt of Pensions in the various Categories in the State.

*Q.—352. **Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI** (Afzalpur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the number of persons in receipt of pensions in the various categories in the State ;

(b) the gross expenditure incurred upon them and *per capita* expenditure thereon ;

(c) the revisions that have taken place in their pensions after 1950 to date ;

(d) the difference in the pensionary benefits of those retired in 1950 and those retiring in 1962 ;

(e) whether the absolute principle relating to pensions at the time of retirement is followed strictly ;

(f) if so, what steps Government proposed to take to adjust the pension scales from time to time to the amount of pension currently awarded to an officer of the same rank retiring after the same period of reckonable service ?

A.—**Sri B. D. JATTI** (Minister for Finance).—

(a) The number of persons in receipt of pensions in the various categories in the State excluding political pensions is as under :—

(i) Service Pensions ...	22,402
(ii) Family Pensions ...	640
(iii) Special Pensions ...	395

(iv) Extraordinary Pensions. 197

(b) The gross expenditure incurred in 1960-61 was Rs. 1,65,26,745 and *per capita* expenditure was 70 nP. approximately.

(c) Pensions once sanctioned are not revised except in individual cases when there are mistakes.

(d) Those who retired in 1950 were entitled to pension equivalent to their average emoluments multiplied by the number of years of qualifying service they had put in (subject to a maximum of 30 years) divided by 60.

Those retiring in 1962 get pension equivalent to their average emoluments multiplied by the number of years of qualifying service they have put in (subject to a maximum of 30 years) divided by 80. They also get in addition death-*cum*-retirement gratuity of one months's emoluments at retirement for every 2 years of qualifying service subject to a maximum of 15 months emoluments. The pension *plus* gratuity works out roughly to the scale of pension that was being granted in 1950.

(e) What exactly is meant by the term 'absolute principle' is not clear. Pension claims are settled strictly in accordance with rules.

(f) The question does not arise.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—Supplementary to (e) : It appears that the Finance Department has not understood the meaning of "absolute principle".

Sri B. D. JATTI.—I do not understand what the Hon'ble member means by this term. If the Hon'ble member clarifies it, I am prepared to give any information he wants.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—What I mean by that is that the Government servant who retired before 1950 and the Government servant of equal rank retiring to-day should get equal pension and there should not be any difference in their emoluments.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—There will not be any change in the pension which a Government servant gets whether he was appointed before 1950 or whether he was appointed in 1960. The rules